Application no.: 10/567,482 Atty Docket No.: DJKIM.VICHEL.PT1
Office Action dated: 10/15/2008 Customer No.: 24943

Amendment dated: 03/16/2009

009

<u>REMARKS</u>

This amendment is filed in response to the Office Action dated October 15, 2008.

Claims 1-9 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter

therein or equivalents thereof. Claims 4, 6, and 9 are objected to and have been amended.

No new matter is involved. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the subject

application

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

In the Action, Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e),

(hereinafter, "Section 102(e)") as being anticipated by Erchak et al., (U.S. PUB No:

2004/0206962, hereinafter, "Erchak").

Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103, (hereinafter, "Section

103") as being unpatentable over Erchak.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections and requests reconsideration for all

pending claims in light of the remarks below.

II. SECTION 102(e) REJECTIONS

In the interest of moving prosecution of the present application forward, Applicant

respectfully requests that claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 be canceled without prejudice or disclaimer

of the subject matter therein or equivalents thereof. Accordingly, the rejection against these

claims is believed to be moot.

5

Application no.: 10/567,482 Atty Docket No.: DJKIM.VICHEL.PT1 Customer No.: 24943

Office Action dated: 10/15/2008

Amendment dated: 03/16/2009

III. **SECTION 103 REJECTIONS** 

As mentioned above, in the interest of moving prosecution of the present application

forward, Applicant respectfully requests that claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 be canceled without

prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter therein or equivalents thereof. Accordingly, the

rejection against these claims is believed to be moot.

IV. **ALLOWABLE CLAIMS** 

As claims 4, 6 and 9 are objected to but would be allowable if rewritten in

independent form to include all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims,

Applicant respectfully submits amended claims 4, 6, and 9. Claims 4, 6, and 9 have been

rewritten to include all the limitations of their respective base claims upon which each

depend from and are therefore believed allowable.

V. **CONCLUSION** 

The above-discussed remarks are believed to place the present Application in condition

for allowance. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the above amendments,

the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicant's representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 16, 2009

/Juneko Jackson/

Otto O. Lee

(Reg. No.: 37,871)

Juneko Jackson

(Reg. No.: 48,870)

Attorneys for Applicant

Atty Docket No.: DJKIM.VICHEL.PT1

Contact No.: 408-286-8933

6